arXiv:alg-geom/9511006v3 12 Jul 1996

On the Intersections of Rational Curves with Cubic Plane Curves

Geng Xu *

0. Introduction

Let V be a generic quintic threefold in the 4-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbf{P^4}$. A well-known conjecture of Clemens says that V has only a finite number of rational curves in each degree. Although Clemens' conjecture is still quite open at this moment (it is known to be true for degree up to 7 by S. Katz [K]), recently physicists, based on the mirror symmetry principle, have proposed a formula to predict the numbers of rational curves of various degrees on V which is a Calabi-Yau threefold [M]. Furthermore, some of these predicted numbers have been verified mathematically.

Now if S is a generic quartic surface in $\mathbf{P^3}$, then we know that for each positive integer k, there are only a finite number of rational curves in $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}(k))$ by the classification theory of surfaces. In this case, the number of hyperplane sections with 3 nodes is known classically to be 3200 (cf. [V], [YZ]).

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1. *Let C be a smooth curve on a smooth projective surface S with geometric genus $g(C) > 0$. If A is a line bundle on S with $A \cdot C > 0$, then there are only a finite number of reduced and irreducible curves $D \in H^0(S, A)$ with geometric genus*

$$g(D) < \frac{1}{2}(K_S + C)A + 1$$

such that each D intersects the curve C at exactly one point (set theoretically). Here the point where D and C intersect is not fixed.

* Partially Supported by NSF grant DMS-9596097

Next we study one interesting example, we take C to be a smooth cubic on the projective plane $\mathbf{P^2}$, which is a 1-dimensional "Calabi-Yau" manifold. In general, a degree k plane curve intersects C at $3k$ points by Bezout's Theorem. However, the set of plane rational curves of degree k has dimension $3k-1$. Therefore, one expects there are only a finite number of rational curves of degree k, each intersects C at one distinct point for every positive integer k. We have

Corollary. *If C is a smooth cubic curve on the projective plane $\mathbf{P^2}$, then for every positive integer k, there are only a finite number of rational curves of degree k, each intersects C at exactly one point (set theoretically). Moreover, there exists such a rational curve for each k.*

The above corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 (see section 3). The number of such rational curves in the corollary is known when $k=1$, as a smooth cubic has 9 flexes. This number seems to be closely related to the number of plane rational curves of degree k passing through $3k-1$ general points (see section 3), which has been computed (cf. [F1], [KM], [R1], [RT]).

The method we use here is deformation of singularity. Namely, given a family of divisors in a linear system, we may get a new divisor by taking a derivative.

Throughout this paper we work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. By a rational curve we mean a reduced and irreducible curve (possibly singular) with geometric genus 0.

Finally, I am very grateful to W. Cherry, I. Dogachev, M. Green, Y. Kawamata and K. Oguiso for valuable discussions, and I would also like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

1. Singularity and deformation lemmas

In this section, we first set up the necessary notations to describe general curve singularity, then we state a few lemmas which will be used in the next section.

Let D be a reduced and irreducible curve on a smooth projective surface S. If P is a singular point of D, then there is a desingularization of D at P:

$$S_{m+1}(P) \xrightarrow{\pi_{m+1}} S_m(P) \xrightarrow{\pi_m} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} S_1(P) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S_0(P) = S,$$

so that the proper transform D^*_{m+1} of D on $S_{m+1}(P)$ is smooth at every infinitely near point of P. Here $S_j(P) \xrightarrow{\pi_j} S_{j-1}(P)$ is the blow-up of $S_{j-1}(P)$ at a point Q_{j-1} ($Q_0 = P$) with $E_{j-1} \subset S_j(P)$ the exceptional divisor. If the proper transform D^*_{j-1} of D on $S_{j-1}(P)$ has multiplicity μ_{j-1} at the point Q_{j-1}, that is,

$$\pi_j^*(D^*_{j-1}) = D^*_j + \mu_{j-1}E_{j-1},$$

then we say that D has a *type* $\mu(P) = (\mu_j, Q_j, E_j \mid j \in N(P) = \{0, 1, \ldots, m\})$ *singularity at* P.

Given a resolution of the singularity of $D \subset S$ at P as above, if $F \subset S$ is another curve (possibly reducible), such that

$$\pi_j^*(\cdots(\pi_2^*(\pi_1^*(F) - \delta_0 E_0) - \delta_1 E_1) - \cdots) - \delta_{j-1}E_{j-1}$$

is an effective divisor for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m+1$ with some positive integers δ_i, then we say that F has a *weak type* $\delta(P) = (\delta_j, Q_j, E_j \mid j \in N(P))$ *singularity at* P. It is easy to see that a type $\mu(P)$ singularity is a weak type $\mu(P)$ singularity at P.

If $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_r$ are all the distinct singular points of a curve D on S, and C has a type $\mu(P_i) = (\mu_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} | j \in N(P_i))$ singularity at P_i, then we say that D has *a type* $\mu = (\mu_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} | i = 1, \cdots, r, j \in N(P_i))$ *singularity.* Likewise, if $F \subset S$ is another curve, it has a weak type $\delta(P_i) = (\delta_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} \mid j \in N(P_i))$ singularity at each P_i ($i = 1, \cdots, r$), then we say that F has *a weak type* $\delta = (\delta_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} | i = 1, \cdots, r, j \in N(P_i))$ *singularity.* It is well-known that we have the following relationship between the geometric genus $g(D)$ and virtual genus $\pi(D)$ of D(cf. [I] ch. 9),

$$\begin{aligned} g(D) &= \pi(D) - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j} - 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{D \cdot (K_S + D)}{2} + 1 - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j} - 1)}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Definition: *Let $T \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open neighborhood of the origin $0 \in T$. Assume that $\sigma\colon D \longrightarrow T$ is a family of reduced and irreducible curves on a smooth projective surface S, $D_t = \sigma^{-1}(t)$, then we say that the family D_t is equisingular at t=0 in the sense that we can resolve the singularity of D_t simultaneously, that is, there is a proper morphism $\pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow D$, so that $\sigma \circ \pi\colon \tilde{D} \longrightarrow T$ is a flat map and*

$$\sigma \circ \pi\colon \tilde{D}_t = (\sigma \circ \pi)^{-1}(t) \longrightarrow D_t$$

is a resolution of the singularities of D_t. In addition, if D_t has a type $\mu(t) = (\mu_{i,j}(t), Q_{i,j}(t), E_{i,j}(t) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, r(t), j \in N(P_i(t))$ singularity with the above resolution, then $\mu_{i,j}(t) = \mu_{i,j}$, $r(t) = r$ and $N(P_i(t)) = N_i$ are all independent of t, and the exceptional divisors and the singular loci of the desingularization $\tilde{D}_t \longrightarrow D_t$ have the same configuration for all t (c.f. [Z],[W]).

Let's now recall the following lemma from [X].

Lemma 1. *Let L be a line bundle on a smooth surface S, and $T \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open neighborhood of $0 \in T$. Assume that $D_t = \{G_t = 0\}(t \in T)$ is a family of reduced and irreducible curves defined by global sections $G_t \in H^0(S, L)$ of L. If the family D_t is equisingular at $t = 0$, and the curve D_t has a type $\mu(P(t)) = (\mu_j, Q_j(t), E_j(t) | j \in N)$ singularity at the point $P(t) \in S$, then the curve*

$$\left\{ \frac{dG_t}{dt} \mid_{t=0} = 0 \right\}$$

has a weak type $\mu(P(0)) - 1 = (\mu_j - 1, Q_j(0), E_j(0) | j \in N)$ singularity at the point $P(0) \in S$.

Proof. This is essentially Lemma 2.3 in [X]. *q.e.d.*

The next lemma tells us how to compute the intersection number of 2 curves on a smooth surface in terms of their singularities.

Lemma 2. *Assume that D is a reduced and irreducible curve on a smooth surface S, $P_1, P_2, \cdots, P_r$ are all the distinct singular points of D on S, and D has a type $\mu = (\mu_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} | i = 1, \cdots, r, j \in N(P_i))$ singularity. Assume also that $F \subset S$ is another curve with $D \not\subset F$ which has a weak type $\delta = (\delta_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}, E_{i,j} | i = 1, \cdots, r, j \in N(P_i))$ singularity. Let*

$$S_{i,m(P_i)+1} \overset{\pi_{i,m(P_i)+1}}{\longrightarrow} S_{i,m(P_i)} \overset{\pi_{i,m(P_i)}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \overset{\pi_{i,2}}{\longrightarrow} S_{i,1} \overset{\pi_{i,1}}{\longrightarrow} S_{i,0} = S_{i-1,m(P_{i-1})+1}$$

be a desingularization of D at P_i $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, r)$ with $S_{1,0}(P_1) = S$. Here we resolve the singularity of D at P_1 first, then P_2, and so on. If we define effective divisors $F_0, F_1, \cdots, F_r$ inductively by

$$F_0 = F,$$

$$F_i = \pi^*_{i,m(P_i)+1}(\cdots(\pi^*_{i,2}(\pi^*_{i,1}(F_{i-1}) - \delta_{i,0}E_{i,0}) - \delta_{i,1}E_{i,1}) - \cdots) - \delta_{i,m(P_i)}E_{i,m(P_i)}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, r$, then we have

$$D \cdot F = \tilde{D} \cdot F_r + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=0}^{m(P_i)} \mu_{i,j} \delta_{i,j}. \tag{2}$$

Here $\tilde{D}$ is the proper transform of D under the desingularization

$$S_{r,m(P_r)+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S_{1,0} = S.$$

Proof. In general, if $\pi : X \longrightarrow S$ is the blow-up of S at a point x with exceptional divisor E, and $B \subset S$ is an irreducible curve and its multiplicity at x is $mult_x B = e > 0$, then we have

$$\pi^* B = B^* + eE,$$

here B^* is the proper transform of B on X. Assume that V is an effective divisor on S so that $\pi^* V - \rho E$ is effective for some integer $\rho > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^* \cdot (\pi^* V - \rho E) &= (\pi^* B - eE) \cdot (\pi^* V - \rho E) \\ &= B \cdot V - e\rho, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$B \cdot V = B^* \cdot (\pi^* V - \rho E) + e\rho. \tag{3}$$

Under the assumption of our lemma, the proper transform of D on $S_{i,m(P_i)+1}$ and D are isomorphic outside the points $P_1, \cdots, P_i$, therefore, they have the same type of singularity at the point P_{i+1} $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, r-1)$. In a similar way, F_i and F have the same type of singularity at the point P_{i+1}. Thus, we deduce (2) by applying the above formula (3) repeatly to every step of the desingularization of D. *q.e.d.*

We end this section with the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3. *Let Γ be a h-dimensional linear system of divisors on a smooth projective variety with generators $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_h$. If $D_t = \sum b_i(t)\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ is a non-trivial family of divisors, then for generic t, the divisor*

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_t = \sum_{i=0}^{h} \big(\frac{db_i}{dt}(t)\big)\gamma_i \neq \alpha(t) D_t,$$

here $\alpha(t)$ is a function of t.

Proof. If we view $\Gamma \cong \mathbf{P^h}$, then D_t corresponds to a curve in $\mathbf{P^h}$. So, geometrically, Lemma 3 means that this curve has a tangent line at every smooth point. In detail, if

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_t = \alpha(t)D_t$$

for some functions $\alpha(t)$, then we have $\frac{db_i}{dt}(t) = \alpha(t)b_i(t)$, hence

$$b_i(t) = \beta_i e^{\int \alpha(t)dt}, \qquad i = 0, 1, \cdots, h$$

for some constants β_i. Therefore,

$$D_t = e^{\int \alpha(t)dt}(\sum_{i=0}^{h} \beta_i\gamma_i)$$

are all equal to the same divisor $\sum \beta_i\gamma_i$. A contradiction. *q.e.d.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let C be a smooth curve with geometric genus $g(C) > 0$, and A be an ample line bundle on C with $\deg A = d > 0$. If $P \in C$ is a point so that there is a divisor $D \in H^0(C, A)$ which is supported exactly at P, that is, $D = dP$, then the following lemma, which is well-known, tells us that the number of such points $P \in C$ is finite.

Lemma 4. *If C is a smooth curve with geometric genus $g(C) > 0$, and A is an ample line bundle on C with $\deg A = d > 0$, then there are only a finite number of divisors $D \in H^0(C, A)$ having a point of multiplicity d.*

We now start the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that there is a non-trivial family of $D_t \in H^0(S, A)$ $(t \in T)$ with

$$g(D_t) < \frac{1}{2}(K_S + C)A + 1$$

such that each D_t intersects C at exactly one point, set theoretically. From Lemma 4 we know that, scheme theoretically,

$$D_t \cap C = dR$$

is independent of $t \in T$ for some point $R \in C$. Let $g \in H^0(S, A)$ be a global section for one of the D_t, and $\Gamma_R \subset H^0(S, A)$ be the linear subspace generated by g and $H^0(S, A - C)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(S, A - C) \longrightarrow H^0(S, A) \longrightarrow H^0(C, A|_C),$$

we know that $D_t \in \Gamma_R$ for all $t \in T$.

As the virtual genus

$$\pi(D_t) = \frac{1}{2}(K_S + D_t)D_t + 1 = \frac{1}{2}(K_S + A)A + 1$$

is fixed, from (1) we know that the curves D_t have only a finite type of possible singularities. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the family D_t is equisingular at some generic point $t = t_0$, $P_1(t), P_2(t), \cdots, P_r(t)$ are all the distinct singular points of D_t on S, and D_t has a type $\mu(t) = (\mu_{i,j}, Q_{i,j}(t), E_{i,j}(t) \mid i = 1, 2, \cdots, r, j \in N(P_i(t))$ singularity for t in a neighborhood of t_0.

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$A^2 \geq \sum_{i,j} \mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j} - 1) + A \cdot C.$$

Assuming Lemma 5, we conclude from the genus formula (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} g(D_t) &= \pi(D_t) - \sum \frac{\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j} - 1)}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(K_S + C)A + 1. \end{aligned}$$

A contradiction to our assumption $g(D_t) < \frac{1}{2}(K_S + C)A + 1$. *q.e.d.*

Finally, we turn to the

Proof of Lemma 5. We prove Lemma 5 by induction on the number of steps to resolve the singularity of D_t at R. For simplicity of notation, we assume that $t_0 = 0$.

1. The curve D_t is smooth at R for generic $t \in T$. By Lemma 1, once we choose some global sections as defining equations of D_t,

$$F = \frac{d}{dt} D_t|_{t=0} \in H^0(S, A)$$

defines a curve F on S, furthermore, F has a weak type $\mu(0)-1=(\mu_{i,j}-1, Q_{i,j}(0), E_{i,j}(0)|$ $i=1,\cdots,r, j\in N(P_i))$ singularity. From Lemma 3, we have $D_0\not\subset F$, and $F\in\Gamma_R$ because Γ_R is a linear space.

If $C\not\subset F$, then we have that the intersection numbers

$$I_R(C,D_0)=d,\quad I_R(C,F)\geq d.$$

We conclude that (cf. [F2] p. 7)

$$I_R(D_0,F)\geq \min(I_R(C,D_0),I_R(C,F))\geq d.$$

If $C\subset F$, we still have $I_R(D_0,F)\geq I_R(D_0,C)=d$.

Let $\tilde{D}_0$ and F_r be as in Lemma 2. Since D_0 is smooth at R by assumption, $\tilde{D}_0$ and D_0 have the same local defining equations near R, so do F_r and F, we get

$$\tilde{D}_0\cdot F_r\geq I_R(\tilde{D}_0,F_r)=I_R(D_0,F)\geq d.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}A^2=D_0\cdot F&=\tilde{D}_0\cdot F_r+\sum\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j}-1)\\&\geq d+\sum\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j}-1),\end{aligned}$$

thanks to (2). Therefore, the conclusion of Lemma 5 is true in this case. We remark here that the above argument works also in the case $d=0$.

2. In general R is a singular point of D_t for generic $t\in T$. Assume that $P_1(t)=R$, and $P_2(t),\cdots,P_r(t)$ are the other singular points of D_t on S. Now we continue to use the notations in step 1. We have

$$I_R(C,D_t)=d\geq\mu_{1,0}=mult_R(D_t).$$

Since C is smooth at $R\in S$, we may choose local analytic coordinates x,y near R with $R=(0,0)$, so that C is locally defined by $y=0$. Suppose that D_t is locally defined by

$$f_t(x,y)=x^d h_t(x)+yg_t(x,y),$$

here the vanishing order of $g_t(x,y)$ at R is $\mu_{1,0}-1$. Let

$$S_{1,1}\xrightarrow{\pi_{1,1}}S_{1,0}=S$$

be the blow-up of S at R with exceptional divisor $E_{1,0}$ and new coordinates $x_1 = x, y_1 = y/x$.

Then the proper transform $D^*_{1,1}(t) \in H^0(S_{1,1}, \pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0})$ of D_t on $S_{1,1}$ is locally defined by

$$x_1^{-\mu_{1,0}} f_t(x_1, x_1y_1) = x_1^{d-\mu_{1,0}} h_t(x_1) + y_1(x_1^{-\mu_{1,0}+1} g_t(x_1, x_1y_1)). \tag{4}$$

Let $R_{(1)}$ be the point on $S_{1,1}$ with coordinates $x_1 = 0, y_1 = 0$, and $C^*_{1,1}$ be the proper transform of C on $S_{1,1}$. Then $C^*_{1,1}$ is smooth at $R_{(1)}$ by assumption. From (4) we have

$$C^*_{1,1} \cap D^*_{1,1}(t) = (d - \mu_{1,0})R_{(1)}.$$

Now the family $D^*_{1,1}(t) \in H^0(S_{1,1}, \pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0})$ has an improved singularity at the point $R_{(1)} \in S_{1,1}$, by induction we have

$$(\pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0})^2 \geq \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=0}\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j}-1) - \mu_{1,0}(\mu_{1,0}-1)\Big) + C^*_{1,1} \cdot (\pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0}).$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0})^2 &= A^2 - (\mu_{1,0})^2,\\ C^*_{1,1} \cdot (\pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0}) &= (\pi^*_{1,1}C - E_{1,0}) \cdot (\pi^*_{1,1}A - \mu_{1,0}E_{1,0})\\ &= A \cdot C - \mu_{1,0}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we deduce that

$$A^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=0}\mu_{i,j}(\mu_{i,j}-1) + A \cdot C.$$

Therefore, the conclusion of the lemma is also true for the family D_t. By induction, we are done. *q.e.d.*

Remark 1. If A is a line bundle on a smooth projective surface S, then by a similar argument to the above, one shows that there are only a finite number of reduced and irreducible curves $D \in |A|$ with geometric genus $g(D) < \frac{1}{2}K_S \cdot A + 1$.

3. The number of rational curves

In this section, we take C to be a smooth cubic curve on the projective plane $S = \mathbf{P^2}$. First of all, it is well-known that the number of divisors in $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(k))$ having a point of multiplicity $3k$ is $9k^2$ (cf. [ACGH] p. 359).

In case $k = 1$, we have that a smooth cubic has 9 ordinary flexes.

When $k = 2$, the number of divisors in $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(2))$ having a point of multiplicity 6 is 36. Since a smooth conic is a rational curve, and $H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(2)) \cong H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(2))$, we have 27 smooth conics, each intersects C at one point because of the 9 double lines.

When $k \geq 3$, let $P \in C$ be a point such that $(3k)P \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(k))$. Then the number of such points $P \in C$ is $9k^2$. Fix such a point $P \in C$. Choose a homogeneous polynomial $g \in H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k))$, so that $\{g = 0\} \cap C = (3k)P$. Denote

$$\Gamma_P^k = \{s_1 g + s_2 g^* F | s_1, s_2, \in \mathbb{C}, g^* \in H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k-3))\} \subset H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k)),$$

here $F \in H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(3))$ is the defining equation of C. It is easy to see that Γ_P^k has codimension $\binom{k+2}{2} - \binom{k-3+2}{2} - 1 = 3k - 1$ in $H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k))$, and from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k-3)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k)) \longrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(k)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we know that $D \in H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k))$ has $D \cap C = (3k)P$ if and only if $D \in \Gamma_P^k$.

Consider the Zariski closure V_k of the set of plane rational curves of degree k on $\mathbf{P^2}$ with ordinary nodes as the only singular points inside the space of all degree k curves $\mathbf{P}H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k))$. The degree of V_k, which can also be interpreted as the number of plane rational curves of degree k passing through $3k-1$ general points, has been computed (cf. [F1], [KM], [R1], [RT]) to be,

$$N_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_1 + k_2 = k} \frac{k_1 k_2 (3k k_1 k_2 - 2k^2 + 6 k_1 k_2)(3k-4)!}{(3k_1 - 1)!(3k_2 - 1)!} N_{k_1} N_{k_2}.$$

Here $N_1 = 1, N_2 = 1, N_3 = 12$, and $N_4 = 620$. It is well-known that V_k has dimension $3k-1$ in the space of all degree k plane curves $\mathbf{P}H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(k))$. Since Γ_P^k has codimension $3k-1$, we have

Proposition 1. *The virtual number of divisors in $\Gamma_P^k \cap V_k$ is N_k, with multiplicity suitably counted.*

Of course, $\Gamma_P^k \cap V_k$ may contain reducible curves, and some curves may be counted more than once. There also might be rational curves with singular points worse than ordinary nodes which intersect the cubic curve C at one point. Our next proposition is an easy consequence of the positivity of N_k.

Proposition 2. *If C is a smooth cubic on the projective plane $\mathbf{P^2}$, then for every positive integer k, there exists a rational curve of degree k which intersects C at exactly one point.*

Proof. Let $J(C) = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ be the Jacobian of C, $\omega \in H^0(C, \Omega^1)$ be a holomorphic differential and $p_0 \in C$ be a fixed point. The map

$$\varphi : C \longrightarrow J(C), \quad p \longrightarrow \int_{p_0}^{p} \omega$$

is an isomorphism. If we take any divisor $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(1))$, by Abel's Theorem, for any point $P \in C$, $(3k)P \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(k))$ if and only if

$$(3k)\varphi(P) = \varphi((3k)P) = \varphi(k(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)) = k(\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)),$$

that is,

$$\varphi(P) \in \left\{ \frac{1}{3}\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) + \frac{n}{3k}\lambda_1 + \frac{m}{3k}\lambda_2 \right\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{5}$$

here $\Lambda = \{n\lambda_1 + m\lambda_2\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is the lattice.

For any positive integer k, if we choose

$$\varphi(P) = \frac{1}{3}\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) + \frac{1}{3k}\lambda_1 + \frac{1}{3k}\lambda_2,$$

then $(3k)P \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(k))$. From Proposition 1 we know there is a curve $D \in \Gamma_P^k \cap V_k$ because $N_k > 0$. Now we claim that D is reduced and irreducible. Otherwise, $D = D_1 D_2$, $\deg D_1 = k_1 < k, D_1 \cap C = (3k_1)P$, then

$$\varphi(P) \in \left\{ \frac{1}{3}\varphi(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) + \frac{n_1}{3k_1}\lambda_1 + \frac{m_1}{3k_1}\lambda_2 \right\}_{n_1,m_1\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

by (5), which is impossible.

Therefore, D is a rational curve of degree k which intersects C at P. *q.e.d.*

Question. How to calculate the exact number of rational curves of degree k, each intersects a fixed smooth cubic C at one point?

We have an answer to the above question only in the first non-trivial case $k = 3$.

Let $C \subset \mathbf{P^2}$ be a smooth cubic and P is one of the 9 flexes of C. Then one can choose homogeneous coordinates X_0, X_1, X_2 of $\mathbf{P^2}$ so that $X_0 = 0$ is the inflection line tangent at $P = (0, 0, 1)$, and C has the standard Weierstrass form (cf. [BK] p. 302)

$$X_0 X_2^2 = 4X_1^3 + \alpha X_0^2 X_1 + \beta X_0^3.$$

Consider the pencil

$$\Gamma_P^3 = \{s_1(4X_1^3 + \alpha X_0^2 X_1 + \beta X_0^3 - X_0 X_2^2) + s_2 X_0^3 | s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset H^0(\mathbf{P^2}, \mathcal{O}(3)),$$

generated by C and the inflection line. It is easy to see that Γ_P^3 contains 2 singular curves except when $\alpha = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$, C is isomorphic to the Fermat cubic $X_0^3 + X_1^3 + X_2^3 = 0$ because the $j-$invariants of both curves are 0, and Γ_P^3 contains only one singular curve which has a simple cusp.

The study of the pencil $\Gamma_3(P)$ in the remaining case when P is not a flex of the cubic C was completed by Keiji Oguiso after he saw the first version of this paper. The rest of this section is due to him.

Consider a fixed point $P \in C$ as in (5) and P is not a flex of C. Since C is smooth at P, there is a unique curve D_P in the pencil Γ_P^3 such that D_P is singular at P. By the genus formula, P must be a double point of D_P. One easily concludes that P must be an ordinary double point (not a simple cusp) of P, otherwise D_P will be reducible by local computations.

From the proof of Proposition 2, we know that the pencil Γ_P^3 contains no reducible curves. Resolve the base locus of Γ_P^3 by blowing up the projective plane $\mathbf{P^2}$ 9 times over the point P,

$$\Psi : S \longrightarrow \mathbf{P^2}.$$

Let $E_1, \cdots, E_8, E_9$ be the exceptional divisors of Ψ in which the last one is E_9. Then $\Psi^* \Gamma_3(P) - \sum_{i=1}^9 E_i$ defines a relatively minimal elliptic fibration

$$\phi : S \longrightarrow \mathbf{P^1}$$

with a section E_9. Now the fibre of ϕ which contains the proper transform D_P^* of D_P is $D_P^* + E_1 + \cdots + E_8$, and the fact that P is an ordinary double point of D_P implies that D_P^* intersects only E_1 and E_8. Since

$$12 = \chi_{top}(S) = \sum \chi_{top}(\text{ singular fibers of } \phi),$$

and

$$\chi(D_P^* + E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_8) = 9,$$

the other singular fibers of χ must be either (a) 3 nodal rational curves, or (b) 1 nodal rational curve and 1 cuspidal rational curve.

Next, we exclude the case (b). Let $G_s = \phi^{-1}(s) - \{sing\phi^{-1}(s)\}$ for $s \in \mathbf{P^1}$. Then G_s has a natural group structure (with origin $G_s \cap E_9$). If $\phi^{-1}(s_0) = C_1$ is a cuspidal rational curve, then P is a smooth point of C_1 and we have $9P \equiv 3H \equiv 9T$ on C_1, here T is the unique inflection point of C_1 and $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P^2}}(1)$. However, $G_s \cong G_a (\cong \mathbb{C})$, the additive group. This implies that $P = T$ is the inflection point of C_1. Let L_P be the inflection line of C_1 at P, since the intersection number

$$I_P(C, L_P) \geq \min\,(I_P(C_1, L_P), I_P(C, C_1)) \geq 3,$$

we conclude that P is also an inflection point of C, which is impossible.

In conclusion, Γ^3_P contains 4 rational curves if P is not a flex of C. Hence we have

Proposition 3. *The number of rational curves of degree 3 intersecting a smooth cubic C at one point is either 306 (the general case) or 297. The number of such rational curves is 297 if and only if C is projectively equivalent to $X_0^3 + X_1^3 + X_2^3 = 0$ on $\mathbf{P^2}$.*

Remark 2. After this work was done, we were informed that N. Takahashi [T] also calculated the number of degree 3 rational curves in the generic case and he gave another proof of our corollary in the introduction, R. Vidunas [Vi] and Z. Ran [R2] obtained results similar to proposition 3.

References

[ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves*, Volume I, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[BK] E. Brieskorn and H. Knörrer, *Plane Algebraic Curves*, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1986.

[F1] W. Fulton, *Enumerative geometry via quantum cohomology; An introduction to the work of Kontsevich and Manin*, Summer Institute lecture notes (Santa Cruz), 1995.

[F2] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[GH] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1978.

[I] S. Iitaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.

[K] S. Katz, *On the finiteness of rational curves on quintic threefolds*, Compositio Math. **60** (1986), 151-162.

[KM] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin, *Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*, Comm. Math. Phy. **164** (1994), 525-562.

[M] D. Morrison, *Mirror symmetry and rational curves on quintic threefolds: a guide for mathematicians*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 223-247.

[R1] Z. Ran, *Enumerative geometry of singular plane curves,* Inv. Math. **97** (1989), 447-465.

[R2] Z. Ran, *The number of unisecant rational cubics to a plane cubic*, preprint.

[RT] Y. Ruan and G. Tian, *A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology*, J. Diff. Geom. **42** (1995), 259-367.

[T] N. Takahashi, *Curves in the complement of a smooth plane cubic whose normalizations are* A^1, preprint.

[V] I. Vainsencher, *Enumeration of n-fold tangent hyperplanes to a surface*, J. Alg. Geom. **4** (1995), 503-526.

[Vi] R. Vidunas, *Rational curves intersecting an elliptic curve in* $\mathbf{P^2}$ *at one point and application to arithmetic geometry*, preprint.

[W] J. Wahl, *Equisingular deformations of plane algeboid curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (1974), 143-170.

[X] G. Xu, *Subvarieties of general hypersurfaces in projective space*, J. Diff. Geom. **39** (1994), 139-172.

[YZ] S.T. Yau and E. Zaslow, *BPS states, string duality, and nodal curves on K-3*, preprint.

[Z] O. Zariski, *Studies in equisingularity I. Equivalent singularities of plane algebroid curves,* Amer. J. Math. **87** (1965), 507-536.

Department of Mathematics, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA
E-mail: geng@math.jhu.edu